



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,004	03/22/2001	Masakazu Suzuoki	SCEI 3.0-054	5183
530	7590 03/07/20		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			MANIWANG, JOSEPH R	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2144	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/816,004	SUZUOKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
· ·	Joseph R. Maniwang	2144			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT	TH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,			
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution that the set of extended period for reply will, by statution that the set of extended period for reply will, by statution that the set of the mail that the set of th	d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABAND	from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	November 2005.				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	一			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	ın				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are rejected.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	and the second s			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	, -, -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
취상, 살아 있다면 하는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다면 하는 것이 없다.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
실험 (선생님의 기계의 그는 그는 그리고 기계의	nts have been received				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri					
application from the International Bure	, , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
를 맞는 것 같다. 그 사는 아니라 다. 기업 사람들은 사람들이 되었다.					
Attachment(s)	— .	en e			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Action Summer	Part of Paper No Marian to concens			
PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office /	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060224			

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claims 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. "A computer readable medium for storing a software cell for transmission over a computer network, said computer network comprising a plurality of processors, said software cell comprising: a program...; data...; and a global identification..." is non-statutory, as the claimed limitations appear to be a mere arrangement of data which impart no functionality when employed as a computer component, and are therefore non-functional descriptive material and lack the requisite functionality to satisfy the practical application requirement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bernstein et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,421,736), hereinafter referred to as Breslau.
- Regarding claim 1, Breslau disclosed a method and system comprising a plurality of processors connected to said network, each of said processors comprising a plurality of first processing units having the same instruction set architecture and a second processing unit for controlling said first processing units (see column 4, line 35 through

ROTH REPORT

column 5, line 20), said first processing units being operable to process software cells comprising a program compatible with said instruction set architecture (see column 1, lines 35-40), data associated with said program (see column 1, lines 42-50) and an identification number uniquely identifying said software cell among all of said software cells being transmitted over said network (see column 1, lines 61-66; column 2, lines 38-48).

- Regarding claim 2, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said second processing unit controls said first processing units by determining the programs of said software cells processed by said first processing units (see column 6, lines 4-3).
- Regarding claim 3, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein each said first processing unit includes a local memory exclusively associated with said first processing unit and said first processing unit processes said programs from said local memory (see column 4, lines 35-57).
- 7. Regarding claim 4, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein each said processor further includes a main memory (see column 4, lines 35-57), said main memory including a plurality of banks (see column 4, lines 35-57; column 7, lines 1-9), each said bank including a plurality of blocks (see column 4, lines 35-57; column 7, lines 1-9), each said block being the lowest addressable unit of said main memory and having an associated memory space in said main memory for storing information regarding the status of data stored in said block (see column 4, lines 35-57; column 7,

Art Unit: 2144

lines 1-9), an identification for a first processing unit and an address of a local memory associated with said first processing unit (see column 4, lines 35-57).

- Regarding claim 5, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said first processing units comprise means for using said associated memory spaces to synchronize said first processing units' reading of data from, and writing of data to, said blocks (see column 4, lines 35-57).
- 9. Regarding claim 6, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein each of said processors further comprises a direct memory access controller (see column 4, lines 35-57).
- Regarding claim 7, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein each said. first processing unit is operable to issue a synchronize read command to read data from said main memory to a local memory associated with said first processing unit and to issue a synchronize write command to write data from said local memory to said main memory (see column 5, line 53 through column 6, line 20).
- Regarding claims 8 and 18, Breslau disclosed a method and system comprising a computer network comprising a plurality of processors (see column 4, line 35 through column 5, line 20); and a plurality of software cells configured for transmission over the computer network, each of the software cells comprising a program for processing by one or more of said processors (see column 1, lines 35-40); data associated with said programs (see column 1, lines 42-50); and a global identification uniquely identifying said software cell among all software cells being transmitted over said network (see column 1, lines 61-66; column 2, lines 38-48).

- Regarding claims 9 and 19, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein each said software cell further comprises information for routing said software cell over said network (see-dolumn 6, lines 4-20; column 8, lines 4-20).
- 13. Regarding claims 10 and 20, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said information includes an identification for one of said plurality of processors, said one processor being the processor to which said software cell is to be transmitted for processing (see column 6, lines 4-20; column 8, lines 4-20).
- 14. Regarding claims 11 and 21, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said identification includes an internet protocol address (see column 5, lines 1-9).
- Regarding claims 12 and 22, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said information includes an identification for one of said plurality of processors, said one processor being the processor from which said software cell originates (see column 8, lines 15-54).
- Regarding claims 13 and 23, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said information includes an identification for one of said plurality of processors, said one processor being the processor to which information regarding the processing of said software cell is to be transmitted (see column 8, lines 15-54).
- Regarding claims 14 and 24, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein each said software cell further comprises information providing a plurality of direct memory access commands for one of said processors (see column 1, lines 41-50).
- Regarding claims 15 and 25, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said information comprises a virtual identification for said one processor and addresses

of a memory associated with said one processor for implementing said direct memory access commands (see column 8, lines 35-54).

- Regarding claims 16 and 26, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said global identification is based upon the identity of one of said processors, said one processor being a processor creating said software cell, and upon the time and date of said creating (see column 8, lines 15-20).
- Regarding claims 17 and 27, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said global identification is based upon the identity of one of said processors, said one processor being a processor transmitting said software cell, and upon the time and date of said transmitting (see column 8, lines 15-20).
- Regarding claim 28, Breslau disclosed a method and system comprising storing in said main memory said programs and said data associated with said programs (see column 6, lines 28-45); directing with said second processing unit any one of said first processing units to process one of said programs (see column 5, lines 30-40); directing with said second processing unit said memory controller to transfer said one program and data associated with said one program from said main memory to the local memory exclusively associated with said one first processing unit (see column 6, lines 4-20); instructing with said second processing unit said one first processing unit to initiate processing of said one program from said one first processing unit's local memory (see column 8, lines 59-67); and in response to said instructing, processing with said one first processing unit said one program from

ered in the windered from

Art Unit: 2144

said local memory exclusively associated with said one first processing unit (see column 9, lines 9-21).

- Regarding claim 29, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said main memory is a dynamic random access memory (see column 4, lines 35-42).
- Regarding claim 30, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said main memory includes a plurality of memory locations, each said memory location including a memory segment exclusively associated with said memory location (see column 4, lines 35-57).
- Regarding claim 31, Breslau disclosed the method and system further comprising storing in each said memory segment status information indicating the status of data stored in said memory segment's associated memory location, the identity of a first processing unit and a memory address (see column 6, lines 29-46).
- Regarding claim 32, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said status information indicates the validity of said data stored in said memory segment's associated memory location (see column 6, lines 29-46), said identity indicates the identity of a particular one of said first processing units and said memory address indicates a storage location within the local memory exclusively associated with said particular one first processing unit (see column 6, lines 29-46).
- Regarding claim 33, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein each of said first processing units is a single instruction multiple data processor (see column 4, lines 35-67).

- Regarding claim 34, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein each of said first processing units includes a set of registers, a plurality of floating points units, and one or more buses connecting said set of registers to said plurality of floating point units (see column 4, lines 35-67).
- Regarding claim 35, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein each of said first processing units further includes a plurality of integer units and one or more buses connecting said plurality of integer units to said set of registers (see column 4, lines 35-67).
- Regarding claim 36, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said computer processor comprises an optical interface, and further comprising converting electrical signals generated by said processor to optical signals for transmission from said computer processor over said waveguide and converting optical signals transmitted to said processor over said waveguide to electrical signals (see column 5, lines 1-9).
- Regarding claim 37, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein each said local memory is a static random access memory (see column 4, lines 35-57).
- Regarding claim 38, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said computer processor further comprises a rendering engine, a frame buffer and a display controller, and further comprising generating pixel data with said rendering engine, temporarily storing said pixel data in said frame buffer and converting with said display controller said pixel data to a video signal (see column 5, lines 1-9).
- Regarding claim 39, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein the data associated with said one program includes a stack frame (see column 6, lines 21-28).

with a deprivate track

- Regarding claim 40, Breslau disclosed the method and system further comprising during said processing of said one program and said data associated with said one program, transferring with said memory controller, in response to an instruction to said memory controller from said one first processing unit, further data from said main memory to the local memory exclusively associated with said one first processing unit and thereafter processing said further data with said one first processing unit from said local memory exclusively associated with said one first processing unit (see column 7, lines 31-43).
- Regarding claim 41, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said main memory comprises a plurality of memory bank controllers and a cross-bar switch for providing a connection between each of said first processing units and said main memory (see column 4, lines 35-57).
- Regarding claim 42, Breslau disclosed the method and system further comprising prohibiting with said memory controller each said first processing unit from reading data from, or writing data to, any of said local memories with which said first processing unit is not exclusively associated (see column 6, lines 21-27).
- Regarding claim 43, Breslau disclosed the method and system further comprising of said processing of said one program and said data associated with said one program, transferring with said memory controller to said main memory, in response to an instruction to said memory controller from said one first processing unit, processed data resulting from said processing of said one program and said data associated with said one program (see column 7, lines 31-43).

Art Unit: 2144

- Regarding claim 44, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said memory controller is a direct memory access controller (see column 4, lines 35-57).
- Regarding claim 45, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said computer processor is connected to a network (see column 4, line 58 through column 5, line 9) and said one program is included within a software cell, said software cell containing a global identification uniquely identifying said software cell among all software cells transmitted over said network (see column 1, lines 35-66).
- Regarding claims 46 and 55, Breslau disclosed a method and system comprising at least one processor configured for receiving and processing software cells transmitted over a computer network (see column 4, lines 58-67); each of the software cells comprising a program for processing by said at least one processor (see column 1, lines 35-40); data associated with said program (see column 1, lines 41-50); and a global identification uniquely identifying said software cells among all software cells being transmitted over the network (see column 1, lines 61-66).
- Regarding claims 47 and 56, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein each said software cell further comprises information for routing said software cell over the computer network (see column 8, lines 15-54).
- Regarding claims 48 and 57, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said information includes an identification for said at least one processor, said at least one processor being the processor to which said software cell is to be transmitted for processing (see column 8, lines 15-54).

Art Unit: 2144

- Regarding claims 49 and 58, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said identification includes an internet protocol address (see column 5, lines 1-9).
- Regarding claims 50 and 59, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said information includes an identification for said at least one processor, said at least one processor being the processor from which said software cell originates (see column 8, lines 15-34).
- Regarding claims 51 and 60, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said information includes an identification for said at least one processor, said at least one processor being the processor to which information regarding the processing of said software cell is to be transmitted (see column 8, lines 15-34).
- Regarding claims 52 and 61, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein each said software cell further comprises information providing a plurality of direct memory access commands for said at least one processor (see column 1, lines 41-50).
- Regarding claims 53 and 62, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said information comprises a virtual identification for said at least one processor and addresses of a memory associated with said at least one processor for implementing said direct memory access commands (see column 8, lines 15-34).
- Regarding claims 54 and 63, Breslau disclosed the method and system wherein said global identification is based upon the identity of said at least one processor, said at least one processor being a processor creating said software cell, and upon the time and date of said creating (see column 8, lines 15-34).

Chief Chief Chief

Art Unit: 2144

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-63 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner submits that the claimed invention is taught by the prior art of record as detailed in the above rejection under 35. U.S.C. 102(e).
- Regarding claims 8-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being drawn to non-statutory subject matter, Examiner submits that the claim amendments do not overcome the rejection. The claim limitations are directed to a mere arrangement of data recorded on a computer readable medium. When an arrangement of data (i.e., non-functional descriptive material/abstract ideas) is recorded on some computer-readable medium, in a computer or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, it is not statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kuhn (U.S. Pat. No. 6,848,109)

Multer et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2002/0010807)

Lurndal (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2002/0016863)

Moreau (U.S. Pat. No. 6,766,350)

Francis et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,643,708)

Lurndal (U.S. Pat. No. 6,424,988)

Lurndal (U.S. Pat. No. 6,192,514)

Lurndal (U.S. Pat. No. 6,393,459)

Yokoyama et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,519,875)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph R. Maniwang whose telephone number is (57.1) 272-3928. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William C. Vaughn can be reached on (571) 272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 57.144 \$273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JM.

WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER